Explanation of variances – pro forma

County area (local councils and

Next, please provide full explanations, including numerical values, for the following that will be insert figures from Section 2 of the AGAR in all <u>Blue</u> highlighted boxes

lagged in the green boxes where relevant:

• variances of more than 15% between totals for individual boxes (except variances of less than £200);

• \text{Naw from 20:20/27 orwards: variances of £100,000 or more require explanation regardless of the %

variation year on year;

6 All Other Payments 5 Loan Interest/Capital Repayment 3 Total Other Receipts 2 Precept or Rates and Levies 1 Balances Brought Forward 4 Staff Costs 8 Total Cash and Short Term investments 9 Total Fixed Assets plus Other Long Term Invest 7 Balances Carried Forward 19,632 15,134 2022/23 5,542 18,482 6,999 16,814 2023/24 16,045 17,213 18,342 6,267 71,181 5,376 19,632 Variance £ -1,623 2,901 1,680 1,465 725 0 Variance 23.19% 11.10% 18.79% 13.08% 0.00% % 2.10% Explanation Required? S YES NO S YES S Automatic responses trigger below based on figures input, DO NOT OVERWRITE THESE BOXES equired - Balance brought forward agrees explanation of % variance from PY opening balance not Explanation from smaller authority (must include narrative and supporting figures) street lighting maintenance was £405 less than 2023 as there had been a repair as well as monthly contract in 2023 but only the monthly contract in yr 2024. £350 less was awarded in grants than the previous year as no award made the village hall and awards were smaller due to limited funds -£1072.5 spend on neighbour hood plan (from a specific grant) compared with £0 in 2023,
-additional £1370.6 on projects compared with 2023, due mainly to the cost of installing LED street lights, this was of benefit due to large future reduction in electricity charges, extra £450 spent on training to use the earmarked, as new clerk and counciliors. More was spent but bursaries received to offset, additional £526.92 spent compared with Income receipts were lower than previous year due to

£350 less recreation lettings as only one football team and some matches cancelled due to weather

The majority of difference from earmarked funds with less received from Neighbourhood plan and more from CANS made of numerous very small items All of these amount come to £2860 additional spend compared to the previous year with the balance being - reduced spend of £7/4,10 on play equipment repairs as still awaiting advice from RoSPA on whether to repair or replace and cost will fall later in 2024, £480 less on grass cutting as the contractor did one less cut than anticipated, on a new mobile phone for clerk. There were additional office expenses of £91.14 for ink and paper for printer councillors, additional £261.25 on electricity for street lights due to increased unit rates from suppliers. £170.10 spent The total undespend compared to 2023 of £781 on general reserves was made up of many small items with the larger costs. CANs spent an extra £181.99 on seeds, turf, signs etc previous year on street reserve as cost of clerk salary when litter picking was booked to the task plus liability insurance There was £781 less expenditure on general funds and an additional £3683 on earmarked funds as follows remaining difference is due to a credior refund of 7.17 in 2023 accounts with no corresponding amount n 2024
 This explains all the income difference, with the majority of the shortfall on neighbour hood plan funding additional £748 for S106 funding via BDC £1354 fundraising by CANS that was not in place last year fundraising plus S106 money as follows. £3427.50 less for the neighbourhood plan grant as the plan is almost complete so less funding allowed in the year increase spend of £180,41 on insurance due to escalating premiums, additional £504 on training for new chair and £69,50 more interest earned at bank

Rounding errors of up to £2 are tolerable

0

0.00%

O

10 Total Borrowings

Variances of £200 or less are tolerable